Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,545	BERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>JEFFREY H. MURRAY</u> .	(3)	
(2) KENNETH F. MITCHELL.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 September 2008	Time: <u>12:25pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: a potential inventorship issue.		
Claims discussed: NONE.		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/539,545

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant to discuss an inventorship issue with applicant. The Office has 2 inventors listed for the current application whereas the applicant has 3 invetors who signed the oath/declaration. The Office has not received a petition to change inventorship and until that time will onlyconsider the original 2 inventors as the inventors on record. Applicant needs to file a petition to change inventorship under rule 1.48 in an attempt to add the third inventor.